"Although the task of providing cognal opportunities for all persons without regard to superficial physical or cultural differences is for from complete.

New Jersey has blazed trails in the improvement of human relations that merit-

Marion Thompson Wright in the Journal of Negro History January, 1953

Perspective on:

CIVIL RIGHTS IN NEW JERSEY

by John P. Milligan

naistant commissioner of education

State Department of Education

The Division Against Discrimination of the New Jerrey State Department of Education has completed 10 years of service in the field of civil rights and anti-discrimination. This article attempts to view with perspective the civil rights program in New Jerrey. It tries to see the progress of man toward brotherhood from the long view, to note the beginnings of the civil rights program in our nation following the Civil War, and to trace the results of New Jersey's civil rights have prior to the enactment of the present Anti-Discrimination Law in 1945, Mention is made of the results of the present leav and of the role of education, which this law makes a primary responsibility of the Division. The article concludes with a look to the future and with suggestions concerning possible next steps in improving the New Jersey norcard.

WHAT are our civil rights?

Webster's New World Dictionary defines them as: those rights guaranteed to the individual by the 18th and 18th Amendments to the Constitution of the United States and by certain other acts of Congress; especially, exemption from invaluatory acrividude and equal treatment of all people with respect to the enlayment of life, liberty, and reportry and to the presention of life,

In 1889, Justice Dixm of the Supreme Court in New Jenney State P. Overs SI NILL. 482, 483; 17 A 969 and that civil rights were." . . . those rights which the sunnicipal law will enforce, at the instance of private individuals, for the purpose of securing to them the enjoyment of their means of happinon." Today we have of course, gone beyond the idea that municipal law, only, will enforce civil rights laws, but he folds of "theney of the court of the law and of education expand.

There are those who would separate the civil liberties field from the field of civil rights. Webster's New World Dictionary says that civil liberties are:

guaranteed to the individual by law; rights of thinking, speaking, and acting as one likes without inserference or restraint except in the interests of the public welfare.

It soms difficult, by comparison of definitions to make a clear-cut superation, Justice Dirons' "nejpo-ment of their means of happiness" seems very close to "welling so one like without interference or metal-sit. "In the learning the like without interference or metal-sit." In the learning the like the properties that the learning the learning the like the like the like and liketifies, and liketifies, it is clear, however, that there is a discernible relationship between civil liketifies and civil rights. The notion has been advanced by Richard Hef-stadiet (1.), that the type of personality which, because of prejudent selfutions, would dray to dirights appears to present the processing which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of personality which in Ruley to be the "typed of the Ruley R

We shall be concerned here with those civil rights of persons which are or may be infringed because of the person's race, creed, color, national origin or ancestry.

Philosophical Basis of Civil Rights

The idea that a purson has rights are a purson has gained recognition slowly in the course of himman affairs. The concept of the worth of the helividisu affairs. The concept of the worth of the helividisu afcir soul) is a tenet of all the major religious going back through several thousands of purso. It is surely a presiment tenet of the Christian faith; yet this idea of individual rights has been violated again and again, even in our times. As Geruld W. Johnson has said

There were times when it seemed that he (the common man) had no rights that sympes was bound to respect ... Whether is Manachmente, ... or in North Carolins ... or in Lontinus ... or in Chicago ... occayblers it seemed that the plain American had no efectase as against the entineeratio, the rich, the victous, or the criminal. An incredible total, indeed, and no incredible that for him who had help appined to exalable the rule of junton mother law ferm pub to "But it is sustained by the records. It is thoroughly documented. It happened." (2.)

So, it did happen. But at the same time, as we shall show later, the idea of personal worth was being recognized more and more.

One of the phases widely discussed, particularly in corn society, in that of freedom of opportunity. This idea has self-red as man has freed hisself from the primitive belief that creything in nature was controlled by good belief that creything in nature was controlled by good cheriched the belief that his wolfar, he long on man cheriched the belief that his wolfar, he long on an actificion, there were unlikely to be any improvements in his way of like. Happily, for progress in civil rights, we are growing more and more to one that, under the light-new improves his client of the followers. Some would see the concept of freedom to support discrimination. Do bears such experisions as—

"Is the law going to tell me whom I can or cannot employ?"

employ?"

"Should the law tell me to whom I may or may not sell my goods or my house?"

"Am I not free to associate or not to associate with whom I please?"

The answers to such questions seem to be emerging in such statements as these which are being increasingly widely accepted:

"A man is entitled to be employed in any job for which he is qualified regardless of his race, creed, or color."
"If you run a business catering to the general public.

you cannot refuse your services to any person who can pay your price just because you do not like his race, religion, or color."

"You may not refuse to be in company with any other person in public places to which he is entitled to go as you are by virtue of his citizenship."

Such replies as have been quoted seem to imply that the Golden Rule is a rule of action. If you wish to be accommodated in public services (privately or publicly operated), you must be willing to have other citisens similarly situated, likewise accommodated. The idea

The writer gratefully acknowledges the help given him in preparing this article. Particular mention must be made of the following: Theodore Leskes of The American Jewish Committee for his suggestions. James A. Lande, chairman, Legal Survey (Student) of Columbia University Law School who interested Joel Field, also a member of Legal Survey in searching out the lows and cases in the civil rights area in New Jersey prior to 1945; Roger H. McDonough, director of the New Jersey State Library, Margaret E. Coonan, head, law and legislative refevence section of the New Jersey State Library and W. W. Price, of the Law Library, for help in locating and annotating references; Thomas P. Cook, Deputy Attorney General, for his helpful criticisms of the manuscript; Henry Spitz, general counsel. New York State Commission Against Discrimination, for his many helpful suggestions; Dr. Marjon Thompson Wright Howard University, and Dr. Milton Konvitz of Cornell University, for permission to use freely material of their authorship the Division clerical staff for careful typing of the mannecript

is growing, too, that this Golden Rule of action must, if necessary, be implemented by law.

Historical Growth of Human Rights Concepts Growth in the idea of rights to be accorded an individual may be traced apart from religious and philosophical considerations (although this growth is held. by this writer, to be religiously oriented wherever it appears). The idea of the individual's possession of a self or soul, considered in many early primitive cultures to be separate from the body, is the very root and substance of the idea of personal worth. Sir James George Frazer save

"... the savage thinks of it (life) as a concrete material thing of a definite bulk, capable of being seen and handled, kept in a box or isr . . . It is not needful that the life, so conceived should be in the mon. Gralies mine) . . . So long as this object which he calls his life or soul remains unbarmed, the man is well . . ." (3.)

This individual life substance is the thing that counts. Through the march of time the idea of its worth ebbs and flows. It has never yet died in any age. On the whole the idea some to have gained strength,

It may be worth comment that the vaunted Greek civilization, valuing as it did freedom of the mind, Aid not extend the concept of individual worth to all mankind. The Greek freedom of the mind did not include freedom of opportunity for all. Even so great a thinker as Aristotle raises and answers the question of slavery as follows:

"But is there anyone thus intended by nature to be a slave, and for whom such a condition is expedient and right? . .

"There is no difficulty in answering this question, on grounds both of reason and of fact. For that some should rule, and others be ruled is a thing not only processary but expedient . . ." (4.)

It is to be further noted that Athens, at the climax of its power, had a population of about 300,000. Of this number half were slaves and strangers. Thus it was that in the so-called Greek democracies ". . . the citizens formed a closed corporation, ruling sometimes, as in the case of Athens in its great days, a big population of slaves and outlanders". (5,)

As in Greek culture, human rights were circumscribed in Rome. Although they were citizens, the Roman plebeians were shorn of political power and were grievously oppressed by their wealthy fellow citizens. They were somewhat better off than the slaves in Athens in an earlier day, but not much better off. They could as citizens, appeal to the assembly of the people when their lives or rights were at stake. But their burden of debts and the unfair distribution of the territories won by conquest were so grievous to them that in 494 B.C. they resorted to what may be called a general strike. They left the City of Rome threatening to build a new city. This action so terrified the Patrician rulers that they agreed to cancel all debts and to release those imprisoned for debt. The plebeians went on to demand and get a plebeian assembly, written laws, the right of intermarriage with patricians, the right to hold public office, equality in voting. Here were civil liberties and rights hard won, as so often they have been by the common men, by vigorous and active protest against the

unjust and inhumane administrations of the dominant raling group, (8)

Perspective on progress in civil rights requires mention of the gains made by our English forefathers. The Magna Carts, wrung from King John in 1215, made England a legal, rather than a regal state. "It rejected the power of the king to control the personal property and liberty of every sort of citizen save with the consent of that man's equals," (7.) This was a monumental gain. Later, the English Bill of Rights, peased in 1689. affirmed the primacy of Parliament, guaranteed free elections, and the right to have arms. It approved excessive beil and cruel and unusual punishment. "Its emphasis on fundamental rights became an accented view among English speaking peoples everywhere." (8.)

All that has been reviewed thus far, and much that has not been reviewed, foreshadowed the Universal Declaration of Human Rights passed on December 10, 1948 by the General Assembly of the United Nations. In this document "human rights" becomes an international concern. This charter deals with such rights as those of freedom of information, status of women, access to education, and the protection of minorities.

Thus, as this brief and inadequate review points up. the march has been long. Gains have been made at great sacrifice, usually through the vigorous action of a few leaders. Even yet, the rights of man are no more than stated-and perhaps not yet adequately stated. But they have been stated and approved by the United Nations; and this fact is of inestimable value and importance. New Jersey may well be proud to be in the forefront of this great movement.

Civil Rights in the United States (9.)

In 1865 the Thirteenth Amendment, abolishing slavery, was ratified. In 1866 Congress passed a Civil Rights Bill. It was vetoed by President Johnson, but Congress passed the bill over his veto. In effect the act guaranteed to Negro citizens the same rights accorded to white citizens. Because there were those who doubted the constitutionality of this act, the Fourteenth Amendment forbidding abridgement of rights without due process of law was passed and was ratified in 1868. The Fifteenth Amendment providing against discrimination in suffrage on account of race, color, or previous condition of servitude was ratified in 1870. With constitutionality, in effect, guaranteed, Congress on May 31, 1870, enacted a new Civil Rights Act. It re-inforced the Act of 1866 with additional provisions and safeguards. In 1875, Congress passed an act protecting all citizens in their civil and legal rights, giving Negroes as well as whites access to places of public accommodation. Under this act an aggrieved person could recover \$500, and the offender was guilty of a misdemeanor.

The civil rights acts just mentioned orested a new concept of equality as Konvits save-

". . . that in the shance of slavery, no man should be subject to the incidents of slavery; that where the reality or substance of slavery is gone its visible form or appearance should not be seen. The legislation was probably the first in the history of mankind to destroy the branches of slevery after its root had been destroyed." (10.)

Following the passage of this legislation the Supreme Court embarked upon what amounted to a negation of this legislation in the separate states. The Court's decisions on the Civil Rights cases were far-reaching. Specifically the Court decided:

"I. Race distinctions with respect to enjoyment of facilities (in places of public accommodation) violete

no constitutional guarantee.

"2. Individuals are free to make such distinctions with-

"2. Individuals are free to make such distinctions we out interference from the Federal government.

"3. States are free to make (or even compel) such distinctions without violating any constitutional guarantee,"
(31.)

The effects of the Court's extion at that time are with us today. This action has, only in our own time been rectified to any degree. It does now appear that recent decisions of the Supreme Court-notably those regarding segregation of students in schools and colleges—are creating a new ecs in civil rights throughout the nation. Decisions of the Court in the last decade are favoushing existing the control of the court in the last decade are favoushing exhibition; that trend.

Civil Rights Laws in New Jersey (12.)

Interest in civil rights for Negroes in New Jersey preceded the Civil War. A New Jersey Law in 1804 made possible the gradual cannacipation of alases. A statute passed in 1846 made all remaining slaves appearables for life; thus these former slaves, though not wholly free, could not be discharged or sold without their own consent.

Some of the character of the binking at the nettional level, discussed in the previous section, was reflected in the actions of the New Jersey Legislature with respect to the radiaction of the Fourteenth and Fitheenth Amendments. Thus it was not until 1871 that the Fitheenth Amendment was railined and it was in 1875 that these world "white" was removed from the section of the State Constitution governing the acordise of the right

In 1883 a law was passed by the New Jersey Legislature forbidding the exclusion of children from a public school because of nationality, religion or color As Dr. Wright any, this law was immediately sobtaged by certain Negre groups through their acceptance of sugergated school. (12) At the same time, this law was probpered to the color of the color of the color of the high schools, and, of elementary schools in some communities (as this writer has beard Dr. Wright remark).

In 1884 the first civil rights law was mented in New Jersey, This law (NJ,SA, 101-12) grants equal rights and privileges to all persons in public places. Other sections of the law define the menting of Jalesco of a public accommodation," while the law (NJ,SA, 101-14) sats a possibly for efforted of a public accommodation," while the law (NJ,SA, 101-14) sats a more than aniony days in juil or both. Dr. Wright stress more than aniony days in juil or both. Dr. Wright stress more than aniony days in juil or both. Dr. Wright stress more than a stress of the law of the contract that the contract has a stress of the law of the contract that the contract has a stress of the law of

the charity and the worth of this notice may be quetioned). A statute (NLSA, 2A.1518-12) passed in 1936 supplementing a law enacted in 1889 problibed inquiry of an applicant for relief concerning his religion, creed or politics, national origin, or anesatry. During World War II the New Jersey Legislature forbade discrimistion in industries capaged in defense work, and guarantion in industries capaged in defense work, and guarancaracteristics of the contraction of the contracti

But in spite of the laws just mentioned, segregation and discriminations were violograped, and the laws were itidals used. Exhaustion was having its effect, however, the contract of the cont

Civil Rights Cases in the Courts

The existence of a law against discrimination in itself may mean little in giving to members of minority groups their civil rights; that is, if we may judge by the record in New Jersey. As has been shown, a civil rights law guaranteeing access to places of public accommodation. regardless of race, creed, color or national origin, and including penalties for violation thereof has been in force in New Jersey since 1884. In terms of discriming. tion on the basis of race, creed, color or national origin. the basis on which the present anti-discrimination law is enacted (eligibility for military service was included in 1950), we have been able to locate only fifteen cases before the New Jersey courts between 1881 (the date of the enactment of the school law) and the present. (14.) In all too few instances, as we shall see, has the aggrieved individual been granted redress. Legal technicalities, strange (to us) reasoning on the part of the courts, as well as defective legal procedures by counsel, have, in large measure negated the effects of the civil rights laws in the few instances when they were invoked. As we review the record, there is little cause to wonder why the civil rights laws in New Jersey have been so little used. Plaintiffs, for the most part, have gotten nowhere. Let us look at the record.

When Negroes in Fair Haven demanded the right to stend the white school, the result was the enactment, in 1881, of a law prohibiting the exclusion of any child from a public school because of nationality, religion recorded to the school because of nationality, religion recorded to the school because of nationality religion School Trastect, 46 N.J.L. 76, 1884 the Burlington Board of Education was ordered to admit a Negro child to the school nameers his factors. The court ruded:

"The relator was . . . entitled to have his children educated in the public school nearest his residence, unless there was some just reason for sending them elsewhere."

Thus a fundamental principle was established, but, as in the Fair Haven situation, it was a victory in one instance only; for the City of Burlington maintained a

In the case of State v. Techning 78 N.J.L. 3, (1905); 22A 402, although it bears not upon discrimination, but upon submission of a false record, the court ruled the penal clauses in other acts were definite; that they were not in conflict with the State Constitution; and that they did not need to be enacted under the title of "An act for the punishment of crimes".

Although the Legislature passed an act in 1884 (L. 1818, c. 50) making it a criminal offense for a cemetary company to refuse to permit the burial of a deceased person because of his color, this law was apparently not invoked until 1908. Then in the case of Corint of Chancory said that "the bill is defective in its allegations and will have to be amended." This conclusion

is prefaced by this statement:

"The argument here is that this act is not relevant to the present situation, because while burial may not be refused to colored people, proof that in the case of white presum, where there was a "hurry call", the seaton had implied authority to give receipts and let purchaners into possession, is not proof that be had such authority in the case of colored persons; the hy-laws containing a regress probabilistic against sellings

them . . "

In 1912 in the case of Miller v. Sumpul 38 N.I.J. 278 (1912); 384. 201 Miller a. Negro, who had been ejected from a Passaic theater was granted \$500 damages, by the District Court. The case was trick without jury. On an appeal to the Supremo Court, the Court laid down the principle that "the only person entitled to use in the one discriminated against for any one of the reasons seccified by the starter."

In the same year, the Supreme Court ruled against the plaintiff in the case of *Shabers v. Nixon Amazenemat Co.* SS N.J.L. 101 (1912); 83A399 saying he had no right to damages. The Court reasoned that the allegation was wenting that Shabert—who had bought his ticket and was setated—was ejected because of race, color, or previous condition of sepritude. One may wonder at the reasoncondition of sepritude. One may wonder at the reason-

ing of the Court in this statement:

... whatever views may be entertained as to the natural justice or injustice of ejecting a theatre pattron without reason, after the halp said for his ticket and taken his seat, we feel constrained to follow that decision (Wood v. Landbetter) as the settled law, and leave the question of changing it to the Legislature, to whom the decision of

such questions belongs."

We were unable to locate any cases bearing on discrimination because of zace, creed, color or national origin between 1912 and 1926. In the year 1927 in the case of Ration w. Board of Education, Berkeley Township 103 N.J.L. 547 (1927), 1873647 the court said a child could not be excluded from a school because of his color. In the case of Patterane w. Board of Education. Twee

In the case of Patterson v. Board of Education, Trenton 11 N.J.Mine. 179 (Saf. Ct. 1944), 164A892 the Supreme Court ruled in 1933 that a Negro student could not be denied the use of the Central High School swimming pool. Justice Donges words are worth quoting:

"... To say to a lad, you may study with your classmates, you may attend the gymnasium with them, but you may not have swimming with them because of your color, is unlawfol discrimination." In 1934 the Court of Errors and Appeals affirmed this

In 1939 in the case of Bullock v. Wooding 123 N. J. L. 176 (1939), SA 2ad 273, the municipal ordinances setting up a separate beach for colored in the City of Long Branch were challenged. The Supreme Court ruled that the plainfilf was cettiled to a writ of mandamus to compet the respondents to grant her a license to use any beach.

In January, 1944, in the year prior to the passage of the Auth-Discrimination Law the Supreme Court in the case of Hedgepsth w. Board of Education, Treated the Board to desagregate the Lincoln School. This school was attended by Negor students in grades kinderies through nine—many of them passing other schools to get there. The Supreme Court safe.

"... The sole question presented is the legal right of the respondent to refuse these children admission in the school nearest their home ..., it is unlawful for Boards of Education to exclude children from any public school on the ground that they are of the Negro race."

In 1948, the Superior Court in State v. Rosecliff Realty Co. 1 N. J. Super. 94 (1948), 62A 2nd 488, overruled the County Court and said that a swimming place is a place of public accommodation.

A case of great moment under the New Jersey Civil Rights laws was that of Seuseell v. Macroibhey et. al. 2 N. J. 563 (1949), 63A 2nd 542. This in the case which negated the attempt to segregate Negroes in the East Orange veterans housing program. The case was hefore the Chancery Division of the Superior Court in January, 1949. Judge Strip, started.

". . by the Fourteenth Amendment the colored race rated to the dignity of citizenship and equality and the states were prohibited from shridging the privileges and immunities of persons of that race. This Amendment has unformly been held to protect all persons, white or hisck, against discriminatory legislation or action by the states .."

Judge Stein said further:

". . The public funds ensants from common success without distinction of color, race or cred. The duties and responsibilities of cilimenship are discharged alike by the white and colorod cilimen, witness the effort made, the blood thad, and the lives sacrificed on common batties fields by cilimens of all kinds of other, cred and race, the fields without a fill kinds of other, cred and race, the state of the colorod cilimens of the colorod colorderstanding of our common of the colorod colorod that if there were to be no surguation in the field of civic duty and sacrifice, there he none in the realm of human digitaly nod equality."

He said further:

"... I hold that the segregation, frankly admitted by the city aethorities, is unlawful discrimination and violates not only our general policy of the law but also the provisions of the very statute under which these projects have been erected."

In the case of State v. Steeent 2 N. J. Super. 15 (1949), the charge was that Negroes were not on the jury. The Court dismissed the case on the grounds that it was not proved that Negroes had been consciously omitted from selection for jury duty. The Court pointed out that the responsibility of the jury commissioners was only to select people without regard for race, creed or color.

In 1949, the Supreme Court in the case of Washington National Insurance Company v. Board of Review 1 N. J. L. 545 (1949), 64A 443, ruled that, while certain insurance agents had been excluded from the benefits of the law, there was no indication that this had been due to race, creed or color.

Another important case was that of Falle », Steepel 75 F. Supp. 543 (D. N. J. 1986). This case was in the District Court in 1948. It was alleged that the defendant wound and operated an amound and sense and was admitted to the park Negro plaintiff gaid his fee and was admitted to the park formulay speed by the defendant, one of when was the Chief of Police of the Bore of Fort Lee. The Court, making great moment of the Fouriesth Anomedonate, particularly the planess under color of any statute and allegations could not be sustained. Judge Smith said:

gations could not be usualized. Judge Smith said: The ejection of the placiality from the park about The ejection of the placiality from the park about undowlately a breach of contrart, and third lensible ejection from the policy by the defination any have ejection from the policy by the defination any have ejection from the policy by the contract of the conmy by reference in the state curve and under the lowmy by reference in the state curve and under the lowton of the State; they were private vessage which may be referred in this owner made the low- of the State; they were private vessage which may be referred in this lowterior of the state of the state of the State; they are the referred by the state of the State of the State; for reliable based upon these vessage, however, are not for reliable based upon these vessage, however, are not present 25-500.

The decision of the lower court was reversed by the United States Court of Appeals, Third Circuit in August, 1949. 176 F. 2nd 697 (3rd Cir. 1949) The Court said:

"A person who acts by virtue of an office conferred upon him under the authority of State law and purportedly pursuant to State law is acting under "color of law". 176 F. 2nd 697, 701.

"Any citizen of New Jersey was entitled to use the avelmming pool. It follows, therefore, that any citizen of the United States was entitled to use it." 176 F. 2nd 697, 704.

In the case of Toplor vs. Leanard, before the Superior Court of New Jersey in 1954, 30 n. J. Super. 116 (1954), 103A 2nd 632, the Court hold that the policy of super action which had been prescited by the Elizabeth Housing Anthority was discriminatory and a violation of Perioral and State Constitutions and the Housing Act Perioral and State Constitutions and the Housing Act State of the Constitution of the Perior and State of the Sullivan went on to question the validity of the quasa system. He said:

The evil of a quota system is that it assumes that Negroes are different from other citizens and shows the treated differently. Stated another way, the allegan purpose of a quotact system is no personal Negroes may getting more than their share of the sensible bousing units. However, this takes for grunted that Negroes are only entitled to the enjoyment of civil rights on a quota hasis.

"... It makes no difference that equal facilities are provided for Negroes. Segregation necessarily implies that Negroes must be kept separate and apart from other people. Like the quots system it is premised on the concept that Negroes are different."

Justice Sullivan went on to champion the cause of equal opportunity for all citizens with this ringing statement:

"The eventual survival of any form of government mecessarily depends on the equal apportionnest of the rights and privileges of citizenship as well as its obligations and duties among all citizens irrespective of race, color, oc creed. Such a principle has long since been the keystone of our national and state form of government."

The following generalizations seem to emerge from

the cases reviewed:

2. In cases involving the noting of schools in a community, the principle seems to have been stated unequivocably that a child is entitled to attend the school nearest his home without regard for race, creed, or color unless there are compelling reasons to cause him to attend some other school.

 In a few instances, persons discriminated against have received redress in the courts including the fining of defendants.

c. In other instances, it appears that the courts have found technical reasons for not giving redress in cases of discrimination even though the evidence seemed to

point to discrimination.

d. It would appear that the existence of civil rights laws in themselves does not guarantee to many individuals their civil rights when the action must be taken by the individual himself through the employment of counsel.

The Constitution and the Anti-Discrimination Law: Results

We turn now to a consideration of the effects of a special agency charged with the responsibility of eliminating discrimination as defined by law. Such agencies now exist in a number of states and municipalities.

The Constitution of the State of New Jersey contains the following paragraph (Article I. section 5):

"No person shall be dealed the enjoyment of any civil or military right, nor be discriminated against in the exercise of any civil or military right, nor be eggregated in the militia or in the public achools, because of religious principles, race, color, amounty or national origin."

Prior to the establishment of this constitutional prevision in 1934, he New Jersey Legislature enacted the Arti-Distrimination Law designed to protent all persons in their offir rights and to prevent and eliminapractices of discrimination against persons because of rare, creed, oelse and antional origin. The set in 1936, provided stanctions designed to eliminate discrimination in underpress. The law was amounded in 1950 to inunderpress. The law was amounded in 1950 to intended international content of the content of the chief discrimination because of liability for service in the field of public-sided housing. Under this leve, there was established in the Dengartice of the content of the content of the content of the con-

meet of Education a Division Against Discrimination consisting of the Commissioner of Education and a State Civil Rights Commission. The Civil Rights Commission is made up of seven individuals serving without pay. The staff of the Division Against Discrimination at preence comprises elever professional workers and four secretaries. As of the end of the year 1985, the Division hash processed a total of 2167 formal complaints, intentional complaints, including the control of the est number of complaints received was in the field of englepowers where 1960 formal and informal complaints were processed. Of this number about half have been diminised after investigation as indicating no probable cause of discrimination. The remaining cases have been June, 1955, to here complaints against the Eric Relitered dising car service was the first public bearing to be called in employment cases during the years of effort.

In the field of public accommodations, 318 formal and 136 informal complaints have been processed. Of this number, about one out of five has been dismissed as having no probable cause, while the remaining cases have been satisfactorily adjusted in all but its instances have been satisfactorily adjusted in all but its instances in these six instances public bearings were called, each resulting in a cease and desist order or a consent order, or the control of the contr

Only one complaint has been received in the matter of eligibility for the armed forces and this was astisfactorily adjusted. The same may be said of the provisions concerning public-aided housing in which area one complaint was received and satisfactorily adjusted.

In addition to the compliance work, the Division carries on a broad pregram of education including public addresses, meetings with community groups, working with schools, planned conferences, surveys of employment practices and public accommodations practices and one. A quarrierly, The Division Review, is published and distributed. In the year just closed, 22/41 persons carrying on the program of human relations education corrying on the program of human relations education.

In the ten years nine: 1965, the Diction has conclused actuate mappingum curveys, one hoppid survey, one Paetro Rico survey, one morel survey and two retail department surveys. The Division, under the direction of the Commissioner of Education has been sedive in desegregating the schools in about fifty communisies which prior to the change of the New Jersey Constitution which prior to the change of the New Jersey Constitution written, some problems remain in a few communities but agreements have been worked out with boards of education whereofy contemplated builting plans will, so far as this Division knows, climinate every vestige of unlawful superguistion of students between of race in this

In his decision growing out of public hearing in the Englewood School case, the Commissioner of Education again reiterated the established principle of long standing under the civil rights laws that a child is entitled to attend the school nearent his home unless there are compelling reasons why he should not do so.

From what has been said concerning the New Jersey Constitution and the law against discrimination, it would appear that an adequately staffed state agency established by law to assist aggrieved persons who have been discriminated assinst is essential if civil rights are to be which is the property of the p

Role of Education

In the preceding section, the role of compliance with the law was pointed up. The role of education was mentioned but this important work deserves special consideration. What is the role of education in the field of civil rights:

One of the first of the important educational enterprises in that of bringing about a wider understanding of the religious and philosophical basis of civil rights. Through speeches; through mestings; through programs with community groups and particularly in the schools of the State, a consisting effort is being made and should continue to be made to bring about an understanding continue to be made to bring about an understanding created and the state of t

A second role of education is that of finding and disseminating fasts leading to better understanding among people of different schnic backgrounds. In carrying our thin phase of the work, it is important that surveys bewere how, for example, that approximately half of the mostle in New Jersey say they are refusing to cent to non-whites, we know what our problem is. We must design a program to help classify the stituted of most of worters and we must encourage those discriminated worters and we must encourage those discriminated owners and we must encourage those discriminated give removly to aggreed persons.

Sill mother important effort is that of bringing people of different backgrounds topicher. This is probably the most effective means of intergroup coluzation. As long as people of different erocked, rone, colors, actional origins, remain separated, they have fittle basis four understanding each other. While we would not the creating and through visual representation, there can be limited onthe that the best way to promote understanding in through the meeting of people of different back grounds in common discussions and enterprises in the community. Human relations workshops spousoed by the way in this prayer.

Still another role of education in that of laying the basis on which the law itself may rost. When facts have been discovered through surveys and otherwise and when these have been discontinisted; when community grouplant of the discons the facts, when the facts point clarified to discons the facts, when the facts point clarified to discons the facts, when the facts point facts of the disconsistent of the control of the facts of the disconsistent of the disconsistent of the facts of the disconsistent of the disconsistent of the disconsistent facts of the disconsistent of the disconsistent of the disconsistent of the facts of the disconsistent of the

Yet another important part to be played by education is that of teaching the techniques of conciliation and persuasion. There is evidence that it is possible to establish a better basis of human relations and to extend civil great gains are thereby made in the field of civil rights.

The role of odesation is related it; athough separate from, the role of law. In some inclusiones, as has how indicated, otheration makes possible judicious civil rights leave. In other cases, the existence of viril rights leave. In other cases, the existence of viril rights leave. In other cases, the existence of viril rights leave. In other cases, the colors are constructed in the comparison of the contraction of the public learning on through reserve to count action. It may be said that in such instances coloration has failed. Even here, however, the roll of otheration is apparent in that through dissemination of information about legal processing the contraction of t

Considerations for the Future

It has been evaluation by the foregoing account that man's straight to statishing the basic principle of the worth of the individual has been a long one. It must be admitted that it has not yet been fully achieved men as colliphoned state as our own New Jersey. The question may well be raised as to what steps added be taken to insure progress in the field of civil rights in New Jersey.

It is assumed that the Division Against Discrimination has made an important contribution. It is also assumed that the work of the Division may be improved. It dos appear, therefore, that there should be a thorough survey of the functions of the Division. Such a survey might attempt to answer such questions as these:

 How effective are the Anti-Discrimination Laws and other civil rights laws in New Jersey?
 How and in what ways should these laws be modi-

How and in what ways should these laws be modified and extended?
 How effective is our present educational program.

as conducted by the Division and by the State Depart ment of Education in the field of civil rights?

4. In what ways should our educational program be

improved?

5. What changes, if any, should be made in the organizations, the procedures, and the location of the Division Against Discrimination in order to insure a more

effective program?

From time to time in the past, representatives of the Division and of the State Civil Rights Commission have met with other state agencies interested in the field of civil rights. Numerous recommendations have been made at such meetings. It is the recommendation of the Assistant Commissioner that there be a survey along the lines suggested in the preceding paragraph to be observable; that such a survey be subtorized by the collectivities; that such a survey be subtorized by the State Civil Rights Commission; that adequate funds be made available to cover the necessary costs of such a survey; that a survey be indicated with all possible dispatch; and that the Division Against Discrintiation ceek to effectuate those recommendations of such a survey as the State Civil Rights Commission may approve.

Pending the outcome of such a survey, it appears that the enablishment of Division of Box in other parts of the State might facilitate the advancement of civil rights. This recommendation will require additional appropriations for the Division and, therefore, could not be effectuated before July, 1907. However, it is possible within the present budget to enablish an additional control of the present budget to enablish an additional control of the state of the such as the survey of the such as the survey of the surv

unded fully and completely to all of our citizens in New Jersey, it is against that much good work has been done. It can hardly be considered an overstatement to say that the Governor, the Legislature, the State Citil Rights Commission, and the Staff of the Division Agains Discrimination with the help and ansistance of all those agencies interested in the field of civil rights, can take pride in what has been accomplished. May we all here highly resolve to go forward to free opportunity for all.

Without any thought that civil rights have been ex-

- The Pscudo-Conservative Revolt", The American Scholar, 24:9-27, Winter 1954-55, p. 24.
 Incredible Tale, New York; Harper & Bres., 1950, p. 84.
- The Golden Bough, volume I, abridged edition. The Macmillan Co., 1942, p. 668.
 Arissetle, "Politics" in On Man in the Universe, New
- York: Walter J. Black, Inc., 1943, p. 255.
 Wells, H. G., The Outline of History, Carden City Publishing Co., p. 290.
- Compton's Pictured Encyclopedia, 1964, 12:183-3.
 Wells, H. G., op. oft., p. 811.
- Wells, H. G., op. cit., p. 811.
 Compton's op cit., 2:145.

13. Article noted above, p. 93-94.

- This section is based upon Milton R. Kouvitz, The Conguitation and Civil Rights. New York: Columbia University Press, 1947.
- 10. Ibid, p. 8. 11. Konvitz, ibid, p. 27.
 - This section is based upon "Extending Civil Rights in New Jersey." Marion Thompson Wright, an article which appeared originally in The Journal of Physio History, January, 1953.
 - 16. In this project we have had a careful research by the Legal Survey Group of Columbia University Law School; James A. Lande, chairman, and Joel Field, were responsible for this commendable effort. The staff of the New Jersey Law Library in Trenton readered invaluable sid.
 - 15. In addition to the court cases cited, decisions of the Commissioner and the State Board of Education likewise support the principle of attendance at the nearest school. See Farsky V. Berkeley, 1938 S.L.D. 689; Kenney V. Monsclair, 1938 S.L.D. 647; and Falker and Anderson V. Englewood, May 19, 1955.
 - 16. The Trenton office is located at 162 West State Street